

United States Patent and Trademark Office

	<u> </u>
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF CO	MMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Off	ncX
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
Aug. Commissioner OR I ATENTS	

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/088,532	09/11/2002	Zhibo Gan	45460-1	3042
7590 03/22/2006		EXAMINER		
Richard S Milner		VENCI, DAVID J		
Cooper & Dunham 1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			1641	
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/088,532	GAN, ZHIBO
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		David J. Venci	1641
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
	• •	VIC CET TO EVOIDE AMO	NITHYON OF THURTY (20) DAYO
WHII - Exte afte - If NI - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH c, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed 4S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Janu</u>	arv 3. 2006.	
		action is non-final.	
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-25</u> is/are withdraw		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.		
7)🖂	Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to.		
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		the Examiner
·-, _	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C 8 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)
	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under co c.c.c. 3 1	15(4) (4) 51 (1).
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		plication No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
	application from the International Bureau		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.
Attachmen	• •		
1) 🔀 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sun	
3) 🔯 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Info	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/16/02</u> .	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Examiner acknowledges Applicant's election of Invention I, with traverse, in the reply filed on January 3,

2006. Applicant traverses by positing that a simultaneous search and examination of all the Inventions

will not result in a serious examination burden. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

According to PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2, if all Inventions fail to relate to a single general inventive concept,

i.e. the Inventions lack the same or corresponding special technical feature, then restriction based on lack

of unity is proper. PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2 do not appear to require a showing of examination burden.

As set forth by the previous Examiner, claims 1-25 fail to relate to a single general inventive concept, and

therefore lack the same or corresponding special technical feature, because Invention I requires a

competitive interaction, while Invention II requires a hydrolytic interaction, while Invention III requires an

inhibitory interaction. The restriction requirement is deemed proper and is made FINAL.

Claims 12-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to

nonelected Inventions.

Currently, claims 1-11 are under examination.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of various formatting and/or punctuation errors. Specifically, claim 1

contains multiple sentences and/or periods in the middle of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1641

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to

particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Throughout the claims:

The recitation of ordinal and/or class descriptors "1", "2" and "3" is indefinite. The identity of

object(s) belonging to each class descriptor is not clear. The standard for ascertaining

membership to each class is not clear.

In claim 1:

The preamble recitation of "the biological substances" lacks antecedent basis. The identity of

object(s) belonging to the class "biological substances is not clear.

In steps (a) and (b), the identity of one or more required step limitations, if any, is not clear. For

example, whether step (a) requires the steps of "providing" a surface of a vessel and/or "coating"

a surface with reactant is not clear. Whether step (b) requires the steps of "providing" a known

amount of reactant and/or "linking" a label is not clear.

In step (a), the phrase "the surface" lacks antecedent basis.

In step (a), the passive voice recitation "the surface... coated" is indefinite. The identity of

object(s) and/or step(s), if any, required for performing coating is/are not clear.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1641

In step (b), the passive voice recitation "reactant 3 linked" is indefinite. The identity of object(s)

Page 4

and/or step(s), if any, required for performing linking is/are not clear.

In step (b), the phrase "The competitive reactions" lacks antecedent basis.

In step (b), the recitation of the infinitive "to bind" is indefinite. Whether the act or process of

binding is completed or performed, or merely intended, is not clear.

In step (c), the phrases "the label signal" and "the reaction vessel" lack antecedent bases.

In claim 11:

The phrase "selected from the group of" is indefinite. Whether a Markush-type claim is intended

is not clear.

Art Unit: 1641

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 5

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the

rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or

on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maggio (US 4,277,437).

Maggio describes a competitive method (see Abstract, first sentence) for measuring a biological

substance (see col. 7, lines 60-68) comprising the steps:

(a) providing a surface of a vessel (see col. 18, lines 50-61, "hub nucleus") coated with a reactant

(see col. 3, lines 22-30, "[l]igand analog");

(b) providing a labeled reactant (see col. 3, lines 50-62, "[I]abel-conjugate") and an unknown

reactant (see col. 3, lines 15-19, "[a]nalyte"); and

(c) determining a change in label signal (see Abstract, "change in light emission in relation to the

concentration of analyte").

Art Unit: 1641

Conclusion

Page 6

No claims are allowed at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to David J. Venci whose telephone number is 571-272-2879. The examiner can normally be

reached on 08:00 - 16:30 (EST). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J Venci Examiner Art Unit 1641

djv

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

03/20/06